



BM Box ES-UK
London
WC1N 3XX
www.es-uk.info
Tel.: 0845 643 9748

xxxxx

Investigations Executive,
Advertising Standards Authority

December 8 2019

Dear xxxx,

Complaint Ref: xxxx

Thank you for your email of December 5 2019. I note that some non-material changes have been made to the Draft Report.

You say that you do not consider the viewpoint of the WHO in this area as minority. However, if the WHO held the majority viewpoint and not its invalidated 1953 heating claim, our information posters would not be needed, or even our and similar charities.

The WHO is in a minority of about 10% of scientists, 20% of studies, and 3% of MEPs:

- (a) Over 250 expert scientists have signed the various appeals against the WHO/ICNIRP, in contrast to the WHO/ICNIRP cartel which numbers some 20-30.
- (b) The IARC classified RFR as a 2B carcinogen by 29 votes to 2.
- (c) Typically, 80% of studies show harm at non-thermal levels.
- (d) The EU Parliament voted 522 to 16 against the WHO/ICNIRP guidelines.

The WHO has to hold its minority viewpoint because it is legally subservient on radiation to the IAEA and its private minority-viewpoint ICNIRP agency is derived from this industry, yet the WHO delegates NIR guidelines to the ICNIRP. In this area, therefore, the WHO, itself an agency of the UN, is legally obliged to follow the minority viewpoint on radiation, as its unscientific and non-peer-reviewed claims show very clearly.

I note that you say you appreciate my comments in respect of the legality and ethics of human test subjects for RFR experiments. However, this issue of 5G and the Nuremberg Code does not appear in the Draft Report. If the ASA accepts that aspects of 5G are untested, then it upholds the very question on the information poster.

I also note the Draft Report does not refer to the 53 million people in the UK affected mainly unawares, and the 800,000 severely affected, each individually substantiation and living proof that 5G and similar RFR are not safe, often by ruined lives and lost jobs.

Further, I note that the Draft Report does not state that many underwriters exclude all RFR cover, except sometimes in the high-risk pollution category which includes asbestos.

I await with interest the ASA Council's response in the light these and similar comments.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Bevington, Chair of trustees, Electrosensitivity UK